

1 GREGORY B. KOLTUN (CA SBN 130454)
GKoltun@mofo.com
2 WENDY J. RAY (CA SBN 226269)
WRay@mofo.com
3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
707 Wilshire Boulevard
4 Los Angeles, California 90017-3543
Telephone: 213.892.5200
5 Facsimile: 213.892.5454

6 JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)
JTaylor@mofo.com
7 ESTHER KIM CHANG (CA SBN 258024)
EChang@mofo.com
8 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
9 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: 415.268.7000
10 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., and UNITED
13 PARCEL SERVICE OF AMERICA, INC.

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16

17 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., and
18 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE OF
AMERICA, INC.,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 BRENDON KENNEDY, MAYUMI
22 KENNEDY, UNITED POT SMOKERS,
UPS420, THCPLANT, and DOES 1-10,

23 Defendants.
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 5:19-CV-284

**COMPLAINT FOR
TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT,
TRADEMARK DILUTION,
FALSE DESIGNATION OF
ORIGIN, FALSE ADVERTISING,
UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES,
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiffs United Parcel Service, Inc. (“UPS, Inc.”), and United Parcel
2 Service of America, Inc. (“UPS America,” and collectively with UPS, Inc., “UPS”)
3 allege as follows:

4 **INTRODUCTION**

5 1. This is an action by UPS against Defendants Brendon Kennedy,
6 Mayumi Kennedy, United Pot Smokers, UPS420, THCPlant, and Does 1-10
7 (collectively, “Defendants”) for federal trademark infringement, federal false
8 designation of origin, federal trademark dilution, state trademark infringement, state
9 trademark dilution, state unfair competition, state unfair business practices, state
10 false advertising, and common law trademark infringement. These claims are
11 brought in connection with Defendants’ use of “UPS”—alone and in combination
12 with a logo—which are confusingly similar to UPS’s marks. Defendants’
13 intentional use of marketing and branding elements confusingly similar to
14 UPS’s trademarks violates Sections 32 and 43 of the Lanham Act,
15 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051-1127, California Business & Professions Code §§ 14330 *et seq.*,
16 17200 *et seq.*, 17500 *et seq.*, and common law. UPS seeks injunctive and monetary
17 relief.

18 **THE PARTIES**

19 2. UPS, Inc. is a corporation duly incorporated and existing under the
20 laws of the State of Delaware with a principal place of business at 55 Glenlake
21 Parkway NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30328. UPS, Inc. is a global leader in logistics,
22 offering a broad range of solutions including transporting packages and freight,
23 facilitating international trade, and deploying advanced technology to more
24 efficiently manage the world of business.

25 3. UPS America is a wholly owned subsidiary of UPS, Inc. UPS
26 America is a corporation duly incorporated and existing under the laws of the State
27 of Delaware with a principal place of business at 55 Glenlake Parkway NE, Atlanta,
28

1 Georgia 30328. UPS America owns the UPS® and UPS® Shield trademarks, as
2 described below.

3 4. On information and belief, Defendant Brendon Kennedy is an
4 individual residing at 1825½ Balboa, Newport Beach, California 92663.

5 5. On information and belief, Defendant Mayumi Kennedy is an
6 individual residing at 1825½ Balboa, Newport Beach, California 92663.

7 6. On information and belief, Defendant United Pot Smokers is an
8 unincorporated association of which Brendon and Mayumi Kennedy are members
9 with a principal place of business at 10134 6th Street, Rancho Cucamonga,
10 California 91730.

11 7. On information and belief, Defendant UPS420 is an unincorporated
12 association of which Brendon and Mayumi Kennedy are members with a principal
13 place of business at 10134 6th Street, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730.

14 8. On information and belief, Defendant THCPlant is an unincorporated
15 association of which Brendon and Mayumi Kennedy are members with a principal
16 place of business at 10134 6th Street, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730.

17 9. UPS is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the Defendants
18 sued under the fictitious names of Does 1-10 except that UPS is informed and
19 believes that the harm alleged herein was proximately caused by these Defendants'
20 wrongful acts in concert with the other Defendants. Therefore, UPS sues these
21 Defendants by fictitious names and will amend this complaint to allege their true
22 names and capacities when ascertained.

23 **JURISDICTION**

24 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to
25 15 U.S.C. § 1121 (action arising under the Lanham Act); 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal
26 question jurisdiction); 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (any Act of Congress relating to
27 trademarks); and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).
28

11. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District because Defendants reside in and conduct business transactions within this District and such conduct has caused injury to UPS in this District.

12. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) because, on information and belief, Defendants reside in and regularly transact business within this District and their conduct in this District constitutes a substantial part of the events giving rise to UPS's complaint. Further, UPS has suffered harm in this District, and the Lanham Act provides that venue lies in the place of harm to the plaintiff.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

UPS's Famous Marks and Strong Reputation

13. Founded in 1907 as a private messenger and delivery service, UPS is a global leader in logistics, offering a broad range of solutions, including transporting packages and freight, facilitating international trade, and deploying advanced technology to more efficiently manage the world of business. UPS has over 454,000 employees and is the world's largest package delivery company. In 2017 alone, UPS delivered over 5.1 *billion* packages and letters. UPS is committed to customer service and continually seeks innovations and improvements to ensure customer satisfaction and the integrity of its business.

14. Since at least as early as 1933, UPS has marketed and promoted its package delivery services in interstate commerce using its distinctive family of UNITED PARCEL SERVICE® and UPS® marks as well as a shield logo.

15. UPS America owns dozens of federal and state trademark and service mark registrations for marks that incorporate the name or designation "UPS" (collectively, the "UPS® Mark"), including but not limited to **U.S. Registration No. 966,774** (covering "transportation of personal property for hire by diverse modes of transportation"), attached as Exhibit A; **U.S. Registration No. 2,491,124** (covering "air transportation services, namely, transportation of passengers and

1 personal property by air”), attached as Exhibit B; and **U.S. Registration**
2 **No. 2,517,938** (covering “business management consultation provided to the
3 general public...”), attached as Exhibit C. UPS America also owns
4 **California State Registration No. 56,512**, attached as Exhibit D, which was
5 registered on August 1, 2002.

6 16. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1115(b), each of these federal registrations is
7 incontestable as a matter of law. As such, the certificates of registration are
8 conclusive evidence of: UPS America’s ownership of the UPS® Mark, the validity
9 of the registrations, and UPS America’s exclusive right to use the UPS® Mark in
10 connection with the registered goods and services.

11 17. Central to the UPS® family of marks is the UPS® Shield mark (the
12 “UPS® Shield Logo”), depicted here:



19 18. UPS America owns the federal service mark and trademark
20 registrations for the UPS® Shield Logo, including **U.S. Registration**
21 **Nos. 2,868,000 and 2,867,999** (both covering “[f]reight forwarding; packaging
22 articles for transportation; transportation and delivery of personal property by air,
23 rail, boat and motor vehicle; warehousing, storage, packing, delivery and returns
24 with respect to the foregoing; arranging ship charters for others; document box
25 rental, document forwarding and receipt and delivery of documents for others”),
26 attached as Exhibits E and F, respectively, and **U.S. Registration Nos. 2,981,794**
27 **and 2,973,108** (both covering “[c]omputer hardware and computer software in the
28 field of transportation and delivery and in connection with worldwide pick-up,

1 tracing and delivery”; “logistics management in the field of transportation and
2 delivery; business management services; business consulting services;” and
3 “[c]lothing, namely, hats, shorts, sweaters, jackets, socks, coats, t-shirts, pants,
4 shirts, vests, sweatshirts, rainwear, footwear and gloves”), attached as Exhibits G
5 and H, respectively.

6 19. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1115(b), each of these federal registrations is
7 also incontestable as a matter of law. As such, the certificates of registration are
8 conclusive evidence of: UPS America’s ownership of the UPS® Shield Logo, the
9 validity of the registrations, and UPS America’s exclusive right to use the
10 UPS® Shield Logo in connection with the registered goods and services.

11 20. UPS has continuously provided its transportation and freight services,
12 as well as other related services, using the UPS® Mark and a shield logo since at
13 least 1933, and using the current registered UPS® Shield Logo since at least 2003.
14 The UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo are famous marks as defined in 15 U.S.C.
15 § 1125(c). All UPS employees worldwide who have routine contact with UPS’s
16 customers and the general public wear uniforms displaying the UPS® Shield Logo.
17 In addition, approximately 119,000 package cars, vans, tractors, and motorcycles
18 owned or operated by UPS in the ordinary conduct of its business, including
19 delivery trucks and airplanes, bear the UPS® Shield Logo. Further, the UPS®
20 Shield Logo is displayed on UPS’s website at www.ups.com, at over 5,000
21 locations of The UPS Store, at over 1,000 UPS Customer Centers, at approximately
22 8,500 authorized UPS outlets, at over 28,000 UPS Access Point locations, and on
23 approximately 41,000 UPS Drop Boxes.

24 21. The UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo promote the public image
25 that UPS has earned over decades of reliable service to its customers, namely, that
26 it is a coordinated, highly professional, efficient organization providing
27 cost-effective logistics services around the world, in the United States, and in this
28 District.

1 22. UPS has expended considerable effort in promoting and establishing
2 recognition of the UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo. UPS has invested
3 hundreds of millions of dollars to advertise and promote its services, including
4 advertisements and promotions on national and local television, on radio
5 broadcasts, in print and social media, on the internet, and in connection with
6 important events. For example, UPS was an official sponsor at a number of recent
7 Olympic Games and, until 2017, of the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball
8 Tournament. UPS is also an official sponsor of a wide variety of sporting events
9 and teams, including PGA golf tournaments, Formula 1 racing teams, and college
10 sports teams.

11 23. As a direct consequence of the care and skill exercised by UPS in
12 offering and furnishing its services, the quality of the services provided under its
13 distinctive family of marks, including the UPS® Mark, the UPS® Shield Logo, and
14 all other marks owned and registered by UPS America with the state and federal
15 government (collectively, the "UPS® Family of Marks") and the extensive
16 advertising, promotion, and sale of UPS's goods and services, the UPS® Family of
17 Marks has acquired an outstanding recognition, symbolizing the substantial and
18 material goodwill that UPS has established throughout the world, in the
19 United States, and in this District for more than a century. Accordingly, the
20 UPS® Family of Marks is a valuable asset of UPS.

21 24. Further, as a result of UPS's extensive advertising, promotion, and sale
22 of goods and services under the UPS® Family of Marks and its longstanding use of
23 the UPS® Mark and the UPS® Shield Logo, the UPS® Mark and
24 UPS® Shield Logo are widely recognized by the general consuming public of the
25 United States as designating UPS as the source of the goods and services that it
26 provides. Accordingly, the UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo are famous
27 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).
28

Defendants' Acts of Willful Infringement and Dilution

25. Defendants, without UPS's authorization, recently began marketing and selling cannabis products and offering delivery and logistics services using trade names and trademarks incorporating and intending to trade on the UPS® Family of Marks. Specifically, on information and belief, Defendants own and operate the websites at www.UPS.green and www.UPS420.com.

26. The website UPS.green describes itself as a "nationwide logistics expeditor" and "operational courier" that specializes in "Time Critical Ground Services," "Real-Time, Online Shipment Tracking," and "Expedited-Freight Services" "Between all Points in the US and Canada." The UPS.green website states that the copyright in the website is owned by "UnitedPotSmokers." It lists 10134 6th Street, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730 as the physical address. On information and belief, 10134 6th Street, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730 is the address for unrelated businesses. The ICANN WHOIS Lookup database (available at <https://whois.icann.org/en>), which contains information about domain name registrants, identifies Defendant THCPlant as the registrant for the UPS.green domain name. The UPS.green website also contains a hyperlink for the phrase "Cannabis Plants & Genetics" that directs users to the website UPS420.com. The domain name THCPlant.com also redirects to the website UPS420.com.

27. UPS420.com purports to offer cannabis products for sale and delivery. The website states that "UPS420 securely packs & ships to ALL 50 states of domestic USA," including those in which cannabis use is not authorized by law for medical or recreational use. UPS420.com also features a "Grow Ops Consulting Services" page stating that "UPS420 offers business class transportation of our products and services to our California business clientele" and lists consulting among the business services it provides. The website features a "Policy" page that describes the "UPS420.com patient collective and affiliates" as a

1 “California-incorporated, Non-Profit collective of medical marijuana patients” that
2 is “Operating with current Not-for-Profit Status legally in California.”

3 28. The UPS420.com website states that the copyright in the website is
4 owned by “UNITEDPOTSMOKER.COM,” which is a hyperlink. That hyperlink
5 redirects users back to another page on the UPS420.com website. The
6 UPS420.com website lists several addresses on its contacts page, including the
7 10134 6th Street, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730 address. Yelp.com, a
8 website that collects user reviews of businesses, has a listing for “UPS420 Cannabis
9 Nursery and Delivery,” also with an address at 10134 6th Street, Rancho
10 Cucamonga, California 91730.

11 29. The California Secretary of State online business entity search tool
12 contains no listings for any entity licensed as a corporation or limited liability
13 company containing the words: “UPS420,” “UPS.green,” “THCPlant,” or
14 “United Pot Smokers.” The California Bureau of Cannabis Control website
15 likewise does not list any licenses for entities with these or similar names.

16 30. In addition to the inclusion of the UPS® Mark in its name and URL,
17 the website UPS.green prominently features the following logo containing the
18 UPS® Mark:



23
24 31. Similarly, in addition to the inclusion of the UPS® Mark in its name
25 and URL, the website UPS420.com prominently displays the following logo
26 containing the UPS® Mark and copying the UPS® Shield Logo, including the
27 distinctive arching band at the top of the logo:
28



Irreparable Harm to UPS

32. UPS has not consented to the use of the UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo by Defendants or any persons or entities affiliated with Defendants, nor does UPS in any way sponsor, endorse, or approve of Defendants' operations.

33. Defendants' unauthorized use of the famous UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the origin, source, and sponsorship of Defendants' products and services.

34. Possessing and shipping cannabis products is prohibited under federal law, even though it may be legal under the laws of several states. UPS complies with all federal law and thus has a company policy that prohibits knowingly shipping cannabis products. Defendants' use of the famous UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo for selling and shipping cannabis products in violation of state and federal laws has harmed and is continuing to harm UPS's valuable reputation and goodwill with respect to its UPS® Family of Marks, as consumers are likely to associate Defendants' illegal activities with UPS. This association is likely to dilute the UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo through both tarnishment and blurring.

35. In addition, Defendants have acquired a reputation for unlawful and unprofessional conduct, including offering sham services—all under the UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo. For example, the website www.ripoffreport.com describes UPS420 and other cannabis related businesses

1 associated with Defendants Brendon and Mayumi Kennedy as follows, review
2 attached as Exhibit I:

3 Ups420.com is one of several unlicensed scam websites
4 operated by Brendon and Mayumi Kennedy and set up to
5 defraud medical marijuana patients. Brendon has been
6 ripping off Southern California medical marijuana
7 patients since 2011 under various names, most notably
8 Clone Queen Genetics and THCplant.com. In 2013,
9 Brendon Kennedy and Clone Queen Genetics were
10 banned from doing business in the state of California by
11 the California Secretary of States [sic] office for failure
12 to pay taxes. After several years of negativite [sic]
reviews and complaints from patients over the products
received from Brendon Kennedy and his unlicensed sham
companies, Brendon has begun operating his cannabis
clone scam business under a host of new names:
UPS420.com, Googleweed.com, OG9.com, OG Hydro
and Delivery and several more. All of these entities are
unlicensed, and Brendon uses a fake business address in
Rancho Cucamonga to hide from the hundreds and
hundreds of medical patients he has defrauded.

13 36. Defendants' actions have injured and are likely to continue to injure
14 UPS by creating a risk that consumers will wrongly or mistakenly associate UPS
15 with Defendants' reputation for unlawful and unprofessional conduct. Further,
16 Defendants' actions have injured and are likely to continue to injure UPS by
17 tarnishing the famous UPS® Family of Marks.

18 37. Defendants clearly knew of the UPS® Family of Marks because they
19 intentionally copied the UPS® Shield Logo on the UPS420.com website. By using
20 the UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo for their cannabis-related sales, logistics,
21 and delivery services, Defendants intended to capitalize off UPS's extensive
22 goodwill and reputation. Although UPS sent Defendants cease-and-desist letters on
23 August 6, September 9, and November 12, 2018, Defendants failed to respond to
24 any of these letters and continue to willfully infringe and dilute the famous UPS®
25 Family of Marks.

26 38. Before filing this action, UPS informed Defendants of its intent to file
27 the claims asserted herein and to seek provisional and injunctive relief on February
28

1 12, 2019. In response, on February 12, 2019, Defendants called UPS's counsel and
2 stated that they intended to continue operate using the UPS420.com domain name.
3 After UPS informed Defendants that it would take immediate legal action against
4 them, the UPS420.com website became inaccessible to UPS and its counsel.
5 Defendants have not expressed intent to permanently cease using the UPS420.com
6 domain name. The UPS.green domain name remains fully accessible and
7 operational.

8 39. Defendants' conduct is continuing and will continue unless restrained
9 by the Court. Unless Defendants are enjoined from engaging in the infringing and
10 diluting conduct described above, UPS will suffer irreparable injury and further
11 harm. UPS has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' continuing violation of
12 its rights as set forth above.

13 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

14 **(Federal Trademark Infringement in Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114)**

15 40. UPS incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1
16 through 39 as if fully set forth herein.

17 41. UPS owns valid and enforceable federal registrations for the
18 UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo, which it has used in commerce for decades
19 and since well before Defendants' wrongful conduct.

20 42. Defendants were aware of and copied the famous UPS® Mark and
21 UPS® Shield Logo for use in connection with their businesses, intending to cause
22 consumers to confuse or mistake the source of Defendants' goods and services.

23 43. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, on information and
24 belief, have caused confusion or mistake and are likely to continue to cause
25 confusion and mistake as to the source, sponsorship, endorsement, or affiliation of
26 Defendant's conduct and as such, constitute infringement of UPS's rights in the
27 UPS® Family of Marks, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
28

1 44. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have permitted or will
2 permit them to make substantial sales and profits on the strength of UPS's
3 nationwide and international marketing, advertising, sales, and consumer
4 recognition.

5 45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
6 UPS has been and will be deprived of the value of the UPS® Family of Marks as a
7 commercial asset.

8 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
9 UPS has been injured by Defendants' wrongful acts, and such harm will continue
10 unless Defendants' acts are enjoined by the Court. UPS has no adequate remedy at
11 law for Defendants' continuing violation of its rights as set forth above.

12 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

13 **(Federal Trademark Dilution in Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c))**

14 47. UPS incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1
15 through 46 as if fully set forth herein.

16 48. UPS owns valid and enforceable rights in the UPS® Family of Marks,
17 which it has used in commerce for decades and since well before Defendants'
18 wrongful conduct.

19 49. The UPS® Family of Marks is famous and was famous prior to
20 Defendants' wrongful conduct, namely their wrongful use of the UPS® Mark and
21 UPS® Shield Logo in connection with their businesses.

22 50. Defendants were aware of and copied the famous UPS® Mark and
23 UPS® Shield Logo for use in connection with their businesses, intending to
24 associate their businesses with UPS, the UPS® Mark, and the UPS® Shield Logo
25 and to trade on UPS's reputation.

26 51. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have created—and unless
27 enjoined—will continue to create an unwholesome, unsavory, and degrading
28 association between Defendants' services and UPS.

1 52. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have diluted and will
2 continue to dilute the UPS® Family of Marks through both tarnishment and
3 blurring in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

4 53. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have permitted or will
5 permit them to make substantial sales and profits on the strength of UPS's
6 nationwide and international marketing, advertising, sales, and consumer
7 recognition.

8 54. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
9 UPS has been and will be deprived of the value of the UPS® Family of Marks as a
10 commercial asset.

11 55. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
12 UPS has been injured by Defendants' wrongful acts, and such harm will continue
13 unless Defendants' acts are enjoined by the Court. UPS has no adequate remedy at
14 law for Defendants' continuing violation of its rights as set forth above.

15 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

16 **(False Designation of Origin in Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))**

17 56. UPS incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1
18 through 55 as if fully set forth herein.

19 57. UPS owns valid and enforceable rights in the UPS® Family of Marks,
20 which it has used in commerce for decades and since well before Defendants'
21 wrongful conduct.

22 58. Defendants were aware of and copied the famous UPS® Mark and
23 UPS® Shield Logo for use in connection with their businesses, intending to cause
24 consumers to confuse or mistake the source of Defendants' goods and services.

25 59. The above acts of Defendants constitute false designation of origin in
26 violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

67. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have permitted or will permit them to make substantial sales and profits on the strength of UPS's nationwide and international marketing, advertising, sales, and consumer recognition.

68. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, UPS has been and will be deprived of the value of the UPS® Family of Marks as a commercial asset.

69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, UPS has been injured by Defendants' wrongful acts, and such harm will continue unless Defendants' acts are enjoined by the Court. UPS has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' continuing violation of its rights as set forth above.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(State Trademark Dilution in Violation of California Business & Professions Code § 14330)

70. UPS incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 69 as if fully set forth herein.

71. UPS owns a California state registration for the UPS® Mark, Registration No. 56,512, as well as valid and enforceable rights in the UPS® Family of Marks, which it has used in commerce for decades and since well before Defendants' wrongful conduct.

72. The UPS® Family of Marks is famous and was famous prior to Defendants' complained of wrongful conduct, namely their wrongful use of the UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo in connection with their businesses.

73. Defendants were aware of and copied the famous UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo for use in connection with their businesses, intending to associate their businesses with UPS and the UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo and to trade on UPS's reputation.

74. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have created—and unless enjoined—will continue to create an unwholesome, unsavory, and degrading association between Defendants' services and UPS.

75. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have diluted and tarnished the distinctive qualities of the UPS® Family of Marks and are likely to cause injury to the business reputation of UPS in violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 14330.

76. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have permitted or will permit them to make substantial sales and profits on the strength of UPS's extensive advertising, marketing, sales, and consumer recognition.

77. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, UPS has been and will be deprived of the value of the UPS® Family of Marks as a commercial asset.

78. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, UPS has been injured by Defendants' wrongful acts, and such harm will continue unless Defendants' acts are enjoined by the Court. UPS has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' continuing violations of UPS's rights.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Deceptive, False and Misleading Advertising in Violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17500)

79. UPS incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 78 as if fully set forth herein.

80. The above-described acts of Defendants constitute untrue and misleading advertising as defined by California Business and Professions Code Section 17500, *et seq.* The acts of untrue and misleading advertising by Defendants described above present a continuing threat to members of the public who may be led to believe that there is some affiliation between Defendants' conduct and UPS.

1 81. Defendants were aware of and copied the famous UPS® Mark and
2 UPS® Shield Logo for use in connection with their businesses, intending to cause
3 consumers to confuse or mistake the source of Defendants' goods and services.

4 82. Defendants' wrongful acts have permitted or will permit them to make
5 substantial sales and profits on the strength of UPS's substantial nationwide
6 advertising, sales, consumer recognition, and goodwill.

7 83. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
8 UPS has been and will be deprived of the value of the UPS® Family of Marks as a
9 commercial asset.

10 84. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
11 UPS has been injured, and such harm will continue unless Defendants' acts are
12 enjoined by the Court. UPS has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants'
13 continuing violation of UPS's rights.

14 **SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

15 **(Unfair Business Practices in Violation of**
16 **California Business & Professions Code § 17200)**

17 85. UPS incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1
18 through 84 as if fully set forth herein.

19 86. UPS owns valid and enforceable rights in the UPS® Family of Marks,
20 which it has used in commerce for decades and since well before Defendants'
21 wrongful conduct.

22 87. On information and belief, Defendants have intentionally
23 misappropriated UPS's distinctive UPS® Mark and UPS® Shield Logo, and such
24 unauthorized use by Defendants is likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception
25 as to the source, sponsorship, or affiliation of Defendants' products and services.

26 88. Defendant's conduct, described above, constitutes unlawful or
27 fraudulent business acts or practices and as such, constitutes unfair competition
28 under California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*

1 89. Defendants' conduct constitutes unlawful business acts or practices
 2 because Defendants have engaged in trademark infringement under Section 32 of
 3 the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114, dilution under Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act,
 4 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c), and false designation of origin under Section 43(a) of the
 5 Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(A).

6 90. Defendants' conduct also constitutes fraudulent business acts or
 7 practices because Defendants' trademark infringement and false designation of
 8 origin are likely to mislead or deceive and, on information and belief, have, in fact,
 9 misled and deceived.

10 91. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
 11 UPS has been, is now, and will be irreparably injured and damaged by Defendants'
 12 aforementioned acts and unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, UPS will
 13 suffer further harm to its name, reputation, and goodwill. This harm constitutes an
 14 injury for which UPS has no adequate remedy at law.

15 92. On information and belief, Defendants have acted with full knowledge
 16 of UPS's rights and with the intention to usurp such rights and thus their
 17 aforementioned acts are willful and intentional.

18 93. Defendants should be required to restore to UPS any and all profits
 19 earned as a result of its unlawful or fraudulent business acts or practices or to
 20 provide UPS with any other restitutionary relief as the Court deems appropriate.

21 **EIGHT CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

22 **(Common Law Trademark Infringement)**

23 94. UPS incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1
 24 through 93 as if fully set forth herein.

25 95. UPS has valid and existing common law rights with respect to the
 26 UPS® Family of Marks, which it has used in commerce for decades and since well
 27 before Defendants' wrongful conduct.

28 96. Defendants were aware of and copied the famous UPS® Mark and

1 UPS® Shield Logo for use in connection with their business, intending to cause
2 consumers to confuse or mistake the source of Defendants' goods and services.

3 97. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, on information and
4 belief, have caused confusion or mistake and will continue to cause confusion and
5 mistake as to the source, sponsorship, endorsement, or affiliation of Defendant's
6 conduct and as such, constitute infringement of UPS's common law rights in the
7 UPS® Family of Marks.

8 98. Defendants' wrongful acts, as alleged above, have permitted or will
9 permit them to make substantial sales and profits on the strength of
10 UPS's nationwide and international marketing, advertising, sales, goodwill, and
11 consumer recognition.

12 99. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
13 UPS has been and will be deprived of the value of the UPS® Family of Marks as a
14 commercial asset.

15 100. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
16 UPS has been injured by Defendants' wrongful acts, and such harm will continue
17 unless Defendants' acts are enjoined by the Court. UPS has no adequate remedy at
18 law for Defendants' continuing violation of its rights.

19 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

20 WHEREFORE, UPS prays for the following relief:

21 1. That Defendants, their members, officers, directors, agents, affiliates,
22 servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with them be
23 preliminarily and permanently enjoined and restrained:

- 24 a) From using, on or in connection with the manufacture, distribution,
25 sale, offering for sale, advertisement, and/or promotion of any
26 products or services, any copy or colorable imitation of the
27 UPS® Family of Marks or any designation confusingly similar thereto,
28

1 including but not limited to UPS, UPS420, UPS.green and the UPS420
2 shield;

- 3 b) From representing directly or indirectly in any manner that
4 Defendants' business, products, or services are UPS's or are in any
5 manner associated with, sponsored, or approved by UPS, or from
6 otherwise taking any action likely to cause confusion, mistake, or
7 deception on the part of purchasers as to the source, origin, or
8 sponsorship of Defendants' business, products, or services;
9 c) From taking any action, directly or indirectly, that is likely to dilute,
10 blur, or tarnish the distinctive quality of the UPS® Family of Marks or
11 that is likely to harm UPS's reputation; and
12 d) From otherwise infringing the UPS® Family of Marks, or otherwise
13 competing unfairly with UPS in any manner;

14 2. That Defendants be required to deliver up to UPS any and all
15 merchandise, products, packaging, promotional material, and any other material
16 bearing any mark, logo, or design confusingly similar to the UPS® Family of
17 Marks, including but not limited to UPS, UPS420, UPS.green and the UPS420
18 shield;

19 3. That Defendants be required to transfer to UPS ownership and control
20 of the domain names www.UPS.green, www.UPS420.com,
21 www.UnitedPotSmoker.com, and all other domain names under their control that
22 incorporate, reference, or mimic any of the UPS® Family of Marks;

23 4. That Defendants be required to file with this Court and to serve on
24 UPS within thirty (30) days after the service of the preliminary and permanent
25 injunctions a written report, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner in which
26 Defendants have complied with the foregoing injunctions;

27 5. For a judgment in the aggregate amount of: (a) Defendants' profits;
28 (b) Plaintiff's actual damages; (c) the costs of this action pursuant to

1 15 U.S.C. § 1117; and (d) restitution and/or disgorgement of all revenues, earnings,
2 profits, compensation, and benefits that may have been obtained by Defendants as a
3 result of its unlawful and/or fraudulent business acts or practices;

4 6. That the Court award enhanced damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

5 7. That the Court deem this an exceptional case and award Plaintiff
6 reasonable attorneys' fees; and

7 8. That the Court grant such other and further relief as it deems just and
8 proper.
9

10 Dated: February 13, 2019

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

11
12 By: /s/Jennifer Lee Taylor
13 Jennifer Lee Taylor

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
15 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE,
16 INC., and UNITED PARCEL
17 SERVICE OF AMERICA, INC.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs demand trial by jury.

Dated: February 13, 2019

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/Jennifer Lee Taylor
Jennifer Lee Taylor

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE,
INC., and UNITED PARCEL
SERVICE OF AMERICA, INC.